### References

Engineers Australia 2005, 'Getting it right the first time—a plan to reverse declining standards in project design documentation within the building and construction industry', viewed 20 April 2007, http://www.steel.org.au/\_uploads/516\_Getting\_it\_Right\_ the\_First\_Time\_-\_FinalReport.pdf.

National Institute of Building Sciences US, Annual Report 2005.

- Navisworks Case Study 2006a, 'BAA plc uses NavisWorks in Drive to Cut Heathrow Terminal 5 Construction Costs', retrieved July 18, 2007 at http://www.navisworks.com/case\_study\_BAAT5.htm.
- Navisworks Case Study 2006b, 'Thiess Proves Navisworks' 4D Value Down Under', retrieved July 18, 2007 at http://www.navisworks. com/case\_study\_theiss.htm.
- Construction Project Information Committee (UK) 2003, Production information: a code of procedure for the construction industry, retrieved on 18 July, 2007 at http://www.productioninformation. org/final/AppD1.html.
- Sawyer, T 2005, 'Soaring Into The Virtual World: Build It First Digitally', *Engineering News Record* (US) October 2005

The Warren Centre, Steel Technology Questionnaire, 2006

'World Best Practice in Steel Construction – JIT Fabrication, UK Market and Issues' 2006 Australian Steel Institute presentation.

### 4.5.3 DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF STEEL-CONCRETE COMPOSITE BUILDING STRUCTURES: AUSTRALIAN PRACTICE

### By Emil Zyhajlo For The Warren Centre

The selection of a building structural frame may be based on a cost-comparative preliminary design study, or it may be the result of judgement based on previous experiences. The building size, shape, location and occupancy type may favour or require a particular structure. Building elements cost relativity at the time of design, and the supply capacities of sub-trades and fabricated components (whether factual or perceived), underlie decisions on the structure selected. Designer bias and designer level of familiarity in alternative materials design methods and in the codes, particularly from smaller design offices, is a factor of design outcome. Office design procedures depend on having relevant design guides, codes and software to output cost-effective and constructible structures. Steel fabricators may need to adapt to producing hybrid steelconcrete prefabricated structural members.

### Introduction

The current suitability and competitiveness of structural steel in buildings is represented by the different structures that are common to building occupancy types of residential, office, retail, and car parking. Architecture and occupancy determines the services and fire protection requirements and floor to ceiling heights, and usually the columns grids. The structure is then selected to satisfy the building function and the relevant serviceability performance parameters expected for that building. In high-rise buildings the steel structure versus concrete structure has different competitiveness and preferences outcomes to that same comparison for low-rise, multi-span construction.

The issues reflecting the total construction cost of a structure include engineered input, contractual agreements, reliability, construction method skills, logistics, construction environment and safety protection requirements. Only engineered input as applied to composite construction is considered in this discussion.

In Australia, building structure design typically assigns lateral load and stability strength to stairwells and service cores. This is particularly so in the case of multistorey buildings; low rise may have diagonally braced frames. Moment (sway) resisting frames are not used therefore in steel-frame structures – the steel beams and steel columns basically support gravity loads. Beams need to support floor slabs. Floor slab types that are currently used may be in situ concrete cast on strippable formwork, or cast on profiled metal decking which becomes composite acting with the concrete, or as a concrete topping cast on precast planks to form a composite concrete floor slab. Each of the available slab methods has differing suitability and is keenly cost competitive. Making the steel beams act compositely with the slab introduces direct economies in the floor costs. Design of the beams needs to further address services reticulation in the ceiling plenum space, fabrication costs, and beam connections to facilitate erection. Fire protection cost of beams and on-site installation is a fundamental issue.

In column-beam structures steel columns are rarely used without steel beam floor frames and similarly steel beams are rarely used without steel columns. They are complementary. A downside or unsuitability of one can render the total steel frame unsuitable or uncompetitive.

The cost of bare steel section structural columns is high, up to three to four times that of reinforced concrete columns in multi-storey buildings. To design a competitive steel frame using bare steel columns would require a steel-favourable structure grid or loading conditions or erection times considered to be faster than in concrete.

### Codes for composite construction

A summary of coverage of composite design by Australian Codes as compared with European and American practice is as follows:

- There is no Australian Standard Code for the design of composite concrete slabs with profiled steel decking.
- There is an Australian Standard Code AS2327.1 (www.standards.org.au) for the design of simply supported beams composite with solid concrete insitu slabs (without a haunch) cast on formwork or on steel decking of re-entrant ribs profile. For the design of composite beams with negative moment actions AS2327 defers to British Standard BS5950.3 (www.bsi-global.com).
- The Australian Bridge Design Code (Austroads in collaboration with Standards Australia) Section 6 deals with composite beams and box girders for simply supported or continuous actions. The slabs must be solid concrete (haunch included), not cast on profiled steel decking.
- There is no Australian Standard Code (buildings) for the design of composite steel-concrete columns.

The Australian Bridge Code Section 6 does cover the design of composite compression members using concrete-filled circular and rectangular hollow steel sections only. Section 6 is a condensed version of the Eurocode and BS Standards (that also include compression members of fully concrete encased steel sections and partially concrete encased steel sections).

• The American Institute of Steel Construction's Manual for Load and Resistance Factor Design Specification for Steel Buildings (www.aisc.org) includes the design of composite compression members using concrete-filled circular and rectangular hollow steel sections and fully concrete-encased steel sections and the design of simply supported or continuous beams composite with a slab or concrete encased.

### Design of floor slabs

The design of composite slabs is being done using manufacturers' design guides that also include computer design software. The design method intent in each of these guides is to conform to AS3600 Concrete Structures Code. The emphasis is on capacity tables for the design of decking to support concrete in a plastic state and in the composite state on formats that relate loads, slab and decking thicknesses, and number of spans. The Design of Composite Slabs for Strength booklet (BHP, 1998) provides the most comprehensive Australian publication on strength design using decking that conforms to AS2327 Composite Beams Code. Longitudinal shear connection between deck and concrete has been rationalised for different decks and as vertical shear design at simply supported ends. The booklet introduces partial shear connection for different concrete strengths into flexural strength design. Design for other limit states and design conditions such as deflection, cracking control, fire, continuity design over internal supports, and lateral distribution to concentrated loads are not covered. These other conditions are treated separately and at times differently by each decking manufacturer. It is up to the designer to assess each situation individually.

For straightforward uniformly distributed load conditions onto composite slabs in steel structures, design information is adequate. There is some inconsistency of design output between designers, however that is usually within the varying bounds of designer approach.

Composite slabs on steel decking are frequently used to span between concrete, masonry or steel supports. Other forms of floor slabs using pre-cast concrete are





# **STEEL –** FRAMING THE FUTURE



Project Report

Co-published by

The Warren Centre for Advanced Engineering Engineering Link Building J13, University of Sydney NSW 2006 Australia www.warren.usyd.edu.au

### SYDNEY UNIVERSITY PRESS

University of Sydney Library

www.sup.usyd.edu.au

© 2007, The Warren Centre for Advanced Engineering (text)

The ideas and assertions put forward here are those of the members of the *Steel – Framing the Future* project teams as interpreted by the authors of the individual papers or those of the authors of the individual papers. It is not the intention of The Warren Centre or its management or the Centre's many sponsors, to present a formal Warren Centre, the University of Sydney or sponsor view of any of the matters presented. © 2007 Sydney University Press

Reproduction and Communication for other purposes Except as permitted under the Act, no part of this edition may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system,

or communicated in any form or by any means without prior written permission. All requests for reproduction or communication should be made to Sydney University Press at the address below: Sydney University Press Fisher Library F03 University of Sydney NSW 2006 AUSTRALIA Email: info@sup.usyd.edu.au

ISBN13 978-1-920898-45-8

Printed in Australia at the University Publishing Service, the University of Sydney

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project received substantial funding from:

- AusIndustry's Industry Co-operative Innovation Program
- BlueScope Steel
- OneSteel

And tangible in-kind support from:

- Lucis
- The Australian Steel Institute
- Minter Ellison Lawyers
- Evans and Peck

The project was only possible due to the commitment of a number of individuals and organisations in particular:

- Sandy Longworth, Project Champion
- Peter Thompson, Visiting Fellow
- Richard Barrett, Visiting Fellow
- Brian Mahony, Project Manager
- Geoff Winter, Project Initiator

Members of the project management team and team leaders:

David Ansley Trevor Gore Reg Hobbs Chris Humphries Andrew Marjoribanks Robert Mitchell Aruna Pavithran Dick Prince David Ryan







An Australian Government Initiative Auslndustry

## CONTENTS

Executiv	e summary	1
1.0 Intro	oduction	
1.1	Background By Sandy Longworth	7
1.2	Situation Analysis By Anthony Ng	9
1.3	Skills Deficiency – A Changing Scene By Sandy Longworth	
1.4	Contrasting the Steel Construction Industry in the UK and Australia By Richard B Barrett	
1.5	Steel and Concrete Alternatives By Peter Thompson	
1.6	Sustainability – Overview By Sandy Longworth	
2.0	Recommendations By David Ansley	23
3.0	Issues Group Summaries	29
3.1	Leadership By Reg Hobbs	29
3.2	Value Chain By Aruna Pavithran	31
3.3	Costing By Andrew Marjoribanks	
3.4	Technology By Sandy Longworth	
3.5	Relative Value Proposition Summary By David Ryan	
4.0	Issues Group Reports	41
4.2	Leadership report By Reg Hobbs and Andrew Marjoribanks	41
4.3	Value Chain Issue Group By Aruna Pavithran	48
4.4	Costing in Steel Fabrication for Construction By Andrew Marjoribanks	
4.5.2	New generation practice in delivering steel-framed structures in Australia By John Hainsworth and Stuart Bull	
4.5.3	Design and construction of steel-concrete composite building structures: Australian practice By Emil Zyhajlo	
4.5.4	Fire and Steel Regulations By Ian D Bennetts	
4.5.5	Fire Engineering By Ben Ferguson	
4.5.6	Impact of emerging technologies on steel fabrication for the construction industry By Sandy Longworth	
4.5.7	History of off-site modular construction trends By Michael Gallagher	
4.5.8	A glimpse to the future – BIM – the new Building Information Model paradigm By John Hainsworth	
4.5.9	FRAMEquick: A key to modern fabrication By Peter Farley	
4.5.10	What does the future hold By John Hainsworth, Peter Farley and Sandy Longworth	
4.6	Relative Value Proposition By Brian Mahony	

### STEEL – FRAMING THE FUTURE

5.0	Project management issues	115
5.1	Methodology By Robert Mitchell	115
5.2	Linking the Issue Groups to 3Cs framework By David Ansley	121
5.3	Key Personnel By Brian Mahony	121
5.4	Resourcing and funding the project By Robert Mitchell	
5.5	ASI and the ICIP Program	
5.6	Primary Information Sources	128
6.0 Biblio	graphy	133
Appendix	A1 Australian Steel Statistics	136
Appendix	A2 The Three 'C's: Communicate, Collaborate & Capabilities'	138
A2.2	The Need to Communicate	
	By Andrew Marjoribanks	138
A2.3	Collaborate to Succeed	
	By Andrew Marjoribanks	
	By Sandy Longworth By David Ryan	
A2.4	Capability	
	By Brian Mahony	147
Appendix	A3 Leadership Issues	
A	By Reg Hobbs	
	A4 Notes accompanying Value Chain Paper	
Appendix	A5 Note on contractual models for steel frame delivery By David Fabian	158
Appendix	6 Summary report on visit to NZ SCNZ, HERA and NZ fabricators	
, ppendix	By David Ryan	160
Appendix	A7 ASI Survey Results	162
Appendix	A8 UK Steel Fabrication - An External Viewpoint	172
	By Brian Mahony	172
Appendix	A9 Building Assemblies Scorecard	181
Appendix	A10 ASI Life Cycle Performance of Steel in the Built Environment	182
Appendix	A11 Sustainability and the Steel Industry	
Appendix	A12 Tech Update Survey	187
Appendix	B – Case Study Descriptions	190
	B1: Latitude Project at World Square - Sydney	
	B2: BMW Building and BHP Billiton Building - Melbourne	
	B3: Brisbane Airport Carpark Extensions	
••	B4 : Carrington House - Sydney	
	B5: Sacrificial Formwork for Structural Walls	
	B6: Rhodes Project - Sydney	
••	B7 : Flinders Link - Adelaide	
	B8 : 50 Lonsdale St - Melbourne	
Appendix	B9 : Southern Cross office complex - Melbourne	
Appendix	B10: Adelaide airport - new terminal	
APPENDI)	X C Project Authors	210

### ABOUT THE WARREN CENTRE FOR ADVANCED ENGINEERING

The Warren Centre for Advanced Engineering is the leading Australian forum for advanced engineering issues, recognised for its inclusive, forward-looking approach and the wide impact of its many achievements.

The Centre is a self-funding, independent, not-for-profit institute operating within the Faculty of Engineering at the University of Sydney, controlled by representatives from industry and elected by the University's Senate.

It has three principal objectives:

- to stimulate the application and further development of new engineering technology.
- to encourage the integration of innovation and engineering technology into the development of Australia's public policy and wealth creation.
- to provide independent comment and advice to government and industry on these and related issues.

The Warren Centre:

- identifies and supports major projects that bring together people at the leading edge in selected fields of engineering technology to develop new technical insights and knowledge in those technologies and accelerate their application in Australian industry.
- holds industry forums for companies in specific industry segments to explore opportunities of common or joint interest that will accelerate the development and/or exploitation of technology.
- organises events such as seminars, lectures and conferences that explore contemporary technology issues and disseminates the results of the Centre's activities.
- produces electronic and printed material to promote discussion and build awareness of contemporary, advanced engineering issues.
- recognises people and projects that make a unique contribution to encouraging excellence and innovation in all fields of advanced engineering.

Since opening in 1983, the Centre has gained wide recognition for its unique approach and its achievements in diverse fields of engineering technology and industry development.





Engineering Link Building J13 Sydney University NSW 2006 Telephone: +61 2 9351 3752 Facsimile: +61 2 9351 2012 Internet: www.warren.usyd.edu.au E-Mail: warrenc@eng.usyd.edu.au