

4.6 RELATIVE VALUE PROPOSITION

4.6.1 GROUP REPORT

By Brian Mahony

The Warren Centre

Executive summary

This paper discusses in detail the findings of the Relative Values Issues Group, which focused on the relative values, monetary and otherwise, of the use of steel and concrete as framing materials for commercial buildings.

The group found that at present concrete is a more attractive framing material. However, while raw material for concrete is likely to increase in the near future, there is a substantial opportunity for steel to reduce its costs in the future. This will be achieved by the adoption, by all participants in the steel value chain – such as engineers, drafters, fabricators and material suppliers – of new technologies that allow seamless interoperability between these participants.

The relative values of steel and concrete are summarised in Table 1, which compares the two materials with the prevailing low-tech and the new steel delivery methodologies.

General

The value proposition is what the customer (or decision maker) sees or perceives is being offered and delivered into the marketplace. The relative value proposition compares the important attributes of steel and concrete to enable decision makers to decide to choose either a steel or a concrete frame for the building in question.

This section discusses the merits of each of the attributes listed below for both steel and concrete frames.

The commercial building industry environment

The commercial building industry comprises a disparate group of developers, owners, architects and project managers who have the responsibility for delivering a wide range of commercial building types to the owners/occupiers. Buildings under consideration in this project include low-high rise offices, shopping centres, hospitals and government buildings.

The commencement of any development project starts with the purchase of the land. A concept study is then

undertaken to determine the most economic outcome for the development, taking into account planning regulations, likely rental returns, and the net lettable area of the building. These commercial decisions are then reflected in the maximum capital cost of the building as dictated by the rental revenue stream. This capital limitation then impacts on the building in terms of finishes, services, façade etc. At approximately 25 per cent of the building cost, the frame impacts on the management of capital costs.

Table 1: Assessments of Relative Values – Present and New Steel Technology

Item	Superior material – present steel practice	Superior material – new steel practice
Cost	Both approx the same	Steel
Time	Steel may be quicker	Steel
Safety	Steel and Concrete Equal	Equal using hi-tech steel
Sustainability	Concrete deemed better at this time – steel industry to seek new rating	Steel – if re-rated by GBCA
Fire engineering	Concrete	Equal
Risk	Concrete	Equal
Design process	Concrete	Equal
Design change and re-work	Concrete	Steel
Technical Issues		
- T1.1 -Accuracy of construction	Equal	Steel
- T1.2 Services interface	Equal	Steel
- TL.3 Façade interface	Equal	Steel
- T1.4 Floors and roof	Concrete	Equal
- T1.5 Core design	Equal	Equal
- T1.6 Floor vibration/deflection	Concrete	Equal
- T1.7 Cranage issues	Concrete	Steel
- T1.8 Building geometry	Concrete	Equal
- T1.9 Durability and maintainability	Equal	Equal
- T1.10 Footings and foundations	Equal	Steel
Delivery structure	Concrete	Equal

It is at this concept design stage that the decision on framing material is taken. In general, the engineering design with respect to structure and services is limited in detail, except for site-specific issues. For major works, it would be expected at this stage that the preliminary framing would be developed in 3D format for both steel and concrete solutions. A services engineering consultant should be engaged at this stage to advise on duct sizes and location, with this information being incorporated into the 3D model.

At this stage there is generally a reduction in design effort until a 'keystone' tenant is confirmed, at which time, the design program and construction period becomes critical due to the commercial conditions of the tenancy. This invariably means that some re-design of the building is required to meet the specific needs of major tenants. The availability of the 3D model at this time is essential in handling change with the development of detailed design.

At present, and in particular for large, high-rise, commercial projects on the eastern seaboard, concrete is often the automatic choice for the framing system due to the following:

- Concrete is about the same cost as steel
- Change can be accommodated more readily with concrete.
- The concrete industry is well organised with reliable supply.
- The pre-stressed concrete industry is very attuned to meeting the needs of the building industry with design and construct offers.
- Concrete is assumed to have a higher sustainability rating.
- Concrete is assumed to have superior fire resistance.
- Concrete pump technology ensures minimum craneage for frame construction.
- Concrete is more accommodating for services installation, ceiling treatment and floor to floor heights.

The new steel paradigm

Unlike other industries, the Australian steel fabricating industry has been slow to take up much of the existing computer-driven technology, which has made steel framing so competitive and so successful in Europe and the UK.

The Warren Centre survey conducted by the Technology Group (see Section. 5.6.3) indicated poor take-up of 3D documentation technology by fabricators and slow adoption of NC driven fabricating equipment e.g. cutting, drilling, marking. Architects and engineers,

other than those from the very progressive firms have been slow to adopt 3D technology. On the other hand detailers as a group have shown the most enterprise, possibly because their survival depends upon high productivity.

This technology revolves around the use of 3D design tools, which are initially used to design the building and to form the database starting point for the building information model (BIM). As described by Barrett, (Section 1.4) the digital outcomes of the 3D structural model are then used as inputs to programs for:

- detailing each member
- automatic CNC cutting, drilling and welding machines (beam lines)
- the manufacture of weldments associated with each member
- material take-offs and procurement of the steel. These MTO's are then sorted into sections, cutting lengths to minimise scrap, drilling, marking and nesting programs
- the design of the floors and the procurement of metal decking for these floors
- the marking-off and identification of every steel member in terms of member number, mass, truck delivery and section.

The paper by Farley (Section 4.5.9) discusses the fabrication part of this technology in more detail. The new low-cost fabrication approach allows the alternative production of more efficient fabricated plate sections (compared with rolled sections) which can be tailored to accommodate services.

The net result of the application of the new steel technology to serve the building industry would be a reduction in the delivered price of steel framing of about 20 per cent (Farley Section 4.5.9) or about \$100/sq m in a typical non-CBD, medium-rise building.

Japan has pioneered automated, self-climbing, canopy-style erection methodologies (Taylor et al 2003) that:

- allow all-weather construction
- allow 24/7 construction
- minimise large craneage use
- improves on-site safety.

However, it is doubtful if the size of the Australian market could justify introduction of this technology at present.

There is also the potential in the use of quick erection techniques to replace the alignment and bolting time of the connecting members (Munter 2006).



STEEL – FRAMING THE FUTURE



The University of Sydney

Project Report

Co-published by
The Warren Centre for Advanced Engineering
Engineering Link Building J13,
University of Sydney NSW 2006 Australia
www.warren.usyd.edu.au

SYDNEY UNIVERSITY PRESS
University of Sydney Library
www.sup.usyd.edu.au

© 2007, The Warren Centre for Advanced Engineering
(text)

The ideas and assertions put forward here are those of the members of the *Steel – Framing the Future* project teams as interpreted by the authors of the individual papers or those of the authors of the individual papers. It is not the intention of The Warren Centre or its management or the Centre's many sponsors, to present a formal Warren Centre, the University of Sydney or sponsor view of any of the matters presented.

© 2007 Sydney University Press

Reproduction and Communication for other purposes
Except as permitted under the Act, no part of this edition may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or communicated in any form or by any means without prior written permission. All requests for reproduction or communication should be made to Sydney University Press at the address below:

Sydney University Press
Fisher Library F03
University of Sydney
NSW 2006 AUSTRALIA
Email: info@sup.usyd.edu.au

ISBN13 978-1-920898-45-8

Printed in Australia at the University Publishing Service, the University of Sydney

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project received substantial funding from:

- AusIndustry's Industry Co-operative Innovation Program
- BlueScope Steel
- OneSteel

And tangible in-kind support from:

- Lucis
- The Australian Steel Institute
- Minter Ellison Lawyers
- Evans and Peck

The project was only possible due to the commitment of a number of individuals and organisations in particular:

- Sandy Longworth, Project Champion
- Peter Thompson, Visiting Fellow
- Richard Barrett, Visiting Fellow
- Brian Mahony, Project Manager
- Geoff Winter, Project Initiator

Members of the project management team and team leaders:

David Ansley	Robert Mitchell
Trevor Gore	Aruna Pavithran
Reg Hobbs	Dick Prince
Chris Humphries	David Ryan
Andrew Marjoribanks	



An Australian Government Initiative



CONTENTS

Executive summary.....	1
1.0 Introduction	7
1.1 Background By Sandy Longworth.....	7
1.2 Situation Analysis By Anthony Ng.....	9
1.3 Skills Deficiency – A Changing Scene By Sandy Longworth.....	11
1.4 Contrasting the Steel Construction Industry in the UK and Australia By Richard B Barrett	12
1.5 Steel and Concrete Alternatives By Peter Thompson	18
1.6 Sustainability – Overview By Sandy Longworth.....	19
2.0 Recommendations By David Ansley.....	23
3.0 Issues Group Summaries.....	29
3.1 Leadership By Reg Hobbs.....	29
3.2 Value Chain By Aruna Pavithran.....	31
3.3 Costing By Andrew Marjoribanks.....	32
3.4 Technology By Sandy Longworth.....	36
3.5 Relative Value Proposition Summary By David Ryan	38
4.0 Issues Group Reports	41
4.2 Leadership report By Reg Hobbs and Andrew Marjoribanks.....	41
4.3 Value Chain Issue Group By Aruna Pavithran.....	48
4.4 Costing in Steel Fabrication for Construction By Andrew Marjoribanks.....	59
4.5.2 New generation practice in delivering steel-framed structures in Australia By John Hainsworth and Stuart Bull	60
4.5.3 Design and construction of steel-concrete composite building structures: Australian practice By Emil Zyhajlo	70
4.5.4 Fire and Steel Regulations By Ian D Bennetts.....	76
4.5.5 Fire Engineering By Ben Ferguson.....	79
4.5.6 Impact of emerging technologies on steel fabrication for the construction industry By Sandy Longworth	85
4.5.7 History of off-site modular construction trends By Michael Gallagher.....	91
4.5.8 A glimpse to the future – BIM – the new Building Information Model paradigm By John Hainsworth.....	95
4.5.9 FRAMEquick: A key to modern fabrication By Peter Farley.....	97
4.5.10 What does the future hold By John Hainsworth, Peter Farley and Sandy Longworth	102
4.6 Relative Value Proposition By Brian Mahony	108

STEEL – FRAMING THE FUTURE

5.0	Project management issues	115
5.1	Methodology	
	By Robert Mitchell	115
5.2	Linking the Issue Groups to 3Cs framework	
	By David Ansley.....	121
5.3	Key Personnel	
	By Brian Mahony.....	121
5.4	Resourcing and funding the project	
	By Robert Mitchell	124
5.5	ASI and the ICIP Program	124
5.6	Primary Information Sources	128
6.0	Bibliography	133
Appendix A1	Australian Steel Statistics	136
Appendix A2	The Three ‘C’s: Communicate, Collaborate & Capabilities’	138
A2.2	The Need to Communicate	
	By Andrew Marjoribanks.....	138
A2.3	Collaborate to Succeed	
	By Andrew Marjoribanks.....	143
	By Sandy Longworth.....	144
	By David Ryan.....	146
A2.4	Capability	
	By Brian Mahony	147
Appendix A3	Leadership Issues	
	By Reg Hobbs.....	149
Appendix A4	Notes accompanying Value Chain Paper	156
Appendix A5	Note on contractual models for steel frame delivery	
	By David Fabian.....	158
Appendix 6	Summary report on visit to NZ SCNZ, HERA and NZ fabricators	
	By David Ryan	160
Appendix A7	ASI Survey Results	162
Appendix A8	UK Steel Fabrication - An External Viewpoint	172
	By Brian Mahony	172
Appendix A9	Building Assemblies Scorecard	181
Appendix A10	ASI Life Cycle Performance of Steel in the Built Environment	182
Appendix A11	Sustainability and the Steel Industry	184
Appendix A12	Tech Update Survey	187
Appendix B – Case Study Descriptions		190
Appendix B1:	Latitude Project at World Square - Sydney	191
Appendix B2:	BMW Building and BHP Billiton Building - Melbourne	194
Appendix B3:	Brisbane Airport Carpark Extensions	196
Appendix B4 :	Carrington House - Sydney	197
Appendix B5:	Sacrificial Formwork for Structural Walls	199
Appendix B6:	Rhodes Project - Sydney	201
Appendix B7 :	Flinders Link - Adelaide	203
Appendix B8 :	50 Lonsdale St - Melbourne	204
Appendix B9 :	Southern Cross office complex - Melbourne	206
Appendix B10:	Adelaide airport - new terminal	208
APPENDIX C	Project Authors	210

ABOUT THE WARREN CENTRE FOR ADVANCED ENGINEERING

The Warren Centre for Advanced Engineering is the leading Australian forum for advanced engineering issues, recognised for its inclusive, forward-looking approach and the wide impact of its many achievements.

The Centre is a self-funding, independent, not-for-profit institute operating within the Faculty of Engineering at the University of Sydney, controlled by representatives from industry and elected by the University's Senate.

It has three principal objectives:

- to stimulate the application and further development of new engineering technology.
- to encourage the integration of innovation and engineering technology into the development of Australia's public policy and wealth creation.
- to provide independent comment and advice to government and industry on these and related issues.

The Warren Centre:

- identifies and supports major projects that bring together people at the leading edge in selected fields of engineering technology to develop new technical insights and knowledge in those technologies and accelerate their application in Australian industry.
- holds industry forums for companies in specific industry segments to explore opportunities of common or joint interest that will accelerate the development and/or exploitation of technology.
- organises events such as seminars, lectures and conferences that explore contemporary technology issues and disseminates the results of the Centre's activities.
- produces electronic and printed material to promote discussion and build awareness of contemporary, advanced engineering issues.
- recognises people and projects that make a unique contribution to encouraging excellence and innovation in all fields of advanced engineering.

Since opening in 1983, the Centre has gained wide recognition for its unique approach and its achievements in diverse fields of engineering technology and industry development.

THE **Warren** CENTRE
FOR ADVANCED ENGINEERING



Engineering Link Building J13
Sydney University NSW 2006
Telephone: +61 2 9351 3752
Facsimile: +61 2 9351 2012
Internet: www.warren.usyd.edu.au
E-Mail: warrenc@eng.usyd.edu.au