C8. Assessment of Dynamic Performance of Floor System

C8.1 Definition of the dynamic assessment process

Refer to Section A4 for references to the papers and codes that form the basis for the method of dynamic assessment adopted for this design example. The paper by Ng and Yum is the primary reference. The notation used in this text is consistent with the Ng and Yum notation.

Refer to Appendix III for discussion of general issues relating to dynamic performance and analysis. The intention is that this section will provide step by step guidance in application of the "Murray Allen" assessment process for a very standard floor panel – essentially as a "black box" method. If you wish to gain understanding of the method that will allow you to apply it to non standard situations, then you will need to study Appendix III and the referenced papers related to dynamic assessment of floors. The Murray Allen method for assessment of the dynamic performance of flooring system as defined in the paper by Ng and Yum is as follows:

- At the overall level, assessment is similar to that for static deflections. Firstly, the dynamic behaviour of a secondary beam and of a primary beam are considered in isolation. Secondly the results from these analyses are combined to determine the dynamic response that will result from the combined dynamic response of the primary and secondary beams. This is similar to the process of finding the static deflections of individual beams (assuming their supports are fixed) and then adding the resulting deflections to determine the combined total deflection (on the diagonal as illustrated in the previous calculations).
- The dynamic assessment shall be carried out assuming the "lowest credible" amount of load w_{dyn} is supported by the individual beams in the flooring system corresponding to self weight plus a live load of 0.5 kPa and a superimposed dead load of 0.2 kPa.
- The dynamic stiffness of the composite beams I_{trans.eff.dyn} shall be assessed on the basis of the following assumptions. Refer to Appendix III that provides detailed calculations for calculation of I_{trans.dyn.eff} that are consistent with these assumptions.
 - The dynamic modulus of elasticity of the concrete = $1.35 E_c$ (1)
 - At the small stress ranges associated with floor vibrations it shall be assumed that there is 100% shear connectivity between the concrete and the steel (even though for strength the connectivity may be assessed as only 50%)
 - The effective width of the concrete flange for both secondary and primary beams shall be taken as the minimum of the supported width and 0.4L for internal beams and 0.2L for edge beams. L is the span of the beam under consideration. The "supported width" shall be assessed in the normal fashion. For an internal beam it shall be the beam spacing while for an edge beam it shall be half the beam spacing plus any cantilever slab on the outside edge of the beam. Note that particularly for the primary beams the dynamic flange width is considerably larger than the flange width b_{cf} used in the assessment of the strength of the composite beams.
 - For assessment of I_{trans.eff.dyn} for secondary beams (with ribs perpendicular to span) only the concrete above the ribs will be considered. For assessment of I_{trans.eff.dyn} where the ribs are parallel to the span, the full depth of the slab shall be used with a small ribbed profile such as Bondek (or an average depth if using a "trapezoidal" deck).





• The effect of a pedestrian walking on the flooring system will be taken as causing a repetitive load of the form:

 $P = P_0 e^{-0.35 fn} \sin(2\pi f_n)$ (2)

Where $P_o = A$ reference value of the pedestrian stepping force taken as 0.29 kN And $f_n = The natural frequency of the beam under consideration$

This is an empirical equation that matches the measured effects of a person walking. While the basic stepping frequency is around 1.6 to 2.2 Hz, higher frequency loading is induced by the upper harmonics of the stepping frequency but the magnitude of the dynamic force reduces sharply for these higher harmonics. This reduction in dynamic force with frequency is represented by the $e^{-0.35fn}$ part of the equation.

• The criteria for acceptable dynamic performance for an office floor may be defined as:

	a/g	=	($P_{o}e^{\text{-}0.35\text{fn}}$) / (βW) must be < 0.5% for f_{n} between 4 and 8 Hz.	(3)
Where And	e Ρ₀ β W	= = =	0.29 kN Dyamic damping ratio generally taken as 0.025 for an office floor The total weight of that portion of the floor that is involved in a particular natur frequency mode of vibration	al

(Higher accelerations are acceptable at natural frequency outside this range but most floors will have their critical natural frequency between 4 and 8 Hz.)

• The weight W involved in a particular natural frequency shall be calculated on the basis of the relative stiffness of the beam under consideration and the stiffness of the slab (or slab and beam system) at right angles to the beam under consideration – with both stiffnesses expressed per unit width. The slightly different methods of determining W for secondary and primary beams are shown below:

The nomenclature used in the following calculations is the same as that of Ng and Yum. Note that the letter "t" indicates that the quantity has been averaged over the supported width of the beam while the suffixes SB, PB1 and PB2 refer to the secondary beam and the two primary beams considered.

For a secondary beam W_{SB} shall be calculated as follows:

Firstly I	lt _s	=	(K _s D _s) ³ / (12n) The slab stiffness per unit width transformed to steel	
ł	D₅ K₅ n	= = =	The actual sla A modifier for E _{steel} / E _{c.dyn}	ab depth the small areas of slab "missing" from the deck ribs = 0.96 for Bondek The modular ratio so that It _s is referred to the stiffness of steel	
Now I	I _{SB}	=	I _{trans.eff.dyn}	(On basis of previously declared assumptions and as implemented in spreadsheet output for a secondary beam)	
And I	lt _{sb}	=	I_{SB} / S_{SB}	Beam stiffness per metre width between secondary beams spaced at S_{SB}	
Then I	B _{SB}	=	C _{SB} (It _S / It _{SB})	^{0.25} L _{SB} (But not greater than 2/3 x floor width perpendicular to secondary Beams)	
With (C _{SB}	=	2 for internal secondary beams 1.0 for a secondary beam parallel with and adjacent to an edge		
Then \	W _{SB}	=	$K_c w_{dyn} B_{SB} L_{SB}$	В	



With	Kc	= = =	A continuity factor 1.5 if there is an adjoining secondary beam on the same line with a span not less than 0.7L 1.0 otherwise
	W _{dyn}	=	Self weight of flooring (slab + beams) plus 0.2 kPa superimposed g and 0.5 kPa q as previously discussed
	L_{SB}	=	The span of the secondary beam
	B_{SB}	=	The effective width as calculated

For an internal primary beam WPB shall be calculated as follows:

	I _{PB}	=	I _{trans.eff.dyn}	(On basis of previously declared assumptions and as implemented in spreadsheet output for a primary beam)	
	It _{PB}	=	I _{PB} / L _{SB}	For an internal primary beam	
Then	Врв	=	C_{PB} (It _{SB} / It _{PB}) ^{0.25} L _{PE}	³ (But not greater than 2/3 x floor width perpendicular to primary beams nor less than the supported width of the beam.	
With	Срв	=	1.8 For in	ternal primary beams, web side plate connected to secondary beams	
Then	W_{PB}	=	K _c w _{dyn} B _{PB} L _{PB}		
With	K _c L _{PB} B _{PB}	= = =	A continuity factor 1.0 Because it is assumed that any continuity will be lost across the column The span of the primary beam The effective width as calculated		

For an external or edge primary beam

It becomes difficult to interpret the detailed intent of both Murray and Allen and Ng and Yum for primary beams at the edge of a slab. The following matters appear to be generally agreed:

- Caution and conservatism is appropriate in dynamic assessment of edge beams at an internal slab edge such as around the perimeter of an internal atrium as often found in supermarkets and the like. Such slab systems often form cantilevers off the main floor and their static and dynamic serviceability may both be problematic. People leaning against the railing to the edge of the slab may be very aware of the dynamic effect of people walking around them and exciting the cantilever floor to vibrate. Depending on the magnitude of the dynamic response, not all people may be concerned but some may be alarmed.
- External edge beams that support the external wall of a high rise building may generally be regarded as being infinitely stiff for the purposes of dynamic assessment. The reason for this is that if for example the wall cladding is of reinforced concrete then it will almost inevitably stiffen up the edge beam. If the wall cladding is relatively light (say a glass curtain wall) then there is still likely to be some stiffening of the edge beam but more importantly the detailing of such light walls will almost inevitably introduce a high level of damping associated with the edge beam.

As a consequence of these matters, for the purposes of this design example, no consideration will be given to the external edge beams.



The natural frequency f_n of the primary and secondary beams may be calculated as:

For a secondary beam:

	f _{n.SB}	=	$0.18(g / \delta_{static.SB})^{0.5}$	
Where And	$g_{\delta_{static.SB}}$	=	9800 mm/s ⁻² 5/384 (w _{dyn} x supported width) L _{SB} 4/EI _{SB}	(in mm)

(Note that the "supported width" used in this equation is the conventional supported width and is quite different to the B_{SB} and B_{PB} effective widths.)

For a primary beam:

lf	L _{PB}	>	B _{SB}	
Then	f _{n.PB}	=	0.18(g / δ _{static.PB}) ^{0.5}	
Where	e g	=	9800 mm/s ⁻²	
And	$\delta_{\text{static.PB}}$	=	5/384 (w _{dyn} x supported width) L _{PB} 4/El _{PB}	(in mm)
lf	L _{PB}	<	B _{SB}	
Then	f n.PB	=	0.18(g / δ' _{static}) ^{0.5}	
Where	e g	=	9800 mm/s ⁻²	
And	$\delta'_{\text{static.SB}}$	=	(L _{PB} / B _{SB}) 5/384 (w _{dyn} x supported width) L _{PB} ⁴ /EI	(in mm)
			(Provided L _{PB} / B _{SB} greater than 0.5)	. ,

Assessment of primary and secondary beams separately against the dynamic criteria as:

	a/g	=	($P_{o}e^{\text{-0.35 fn.SB}}$) / (βW_{SB})	For an office must be < 0.5% for f_{n} between 4 and 8 Hz.
And	a/g	=	($P_{o}e^{\text{-0.35 fn.PB}}$) / (βW_{PB})	Ditto

Assess against dynamic criteria for the combined dynamic mode involving both primary and secondary beams:

In the following equations, use $\delta_{\text{static.PB}}$ if $L_{\text{PB}} > B_{\text{SB}}$. If $L_{\text{PB}} < B_{\text{SB}}$ then replace $\delta_{\text{static.PB}}$ with $\delta_{\text{static}.\text{PB}}$ as set out in previous section.

- Determine the combined frequency f_{comb} as: $f_{comb} = 0.18 (g / (\delta_{static.SB} + \delta_{static.PB}))^{0.5}$
- Determine the weight W_{comb} involved in the combined dynamic response as $W_{comb} = (\delta_{static.SB} / (\delta_{static.SB} + \delta_{static.PB})) \times W_{SB} + (\delta_{static.PB} / (\delta_{static.SB} + \delta_{static.PB})) \times W_{PB}$
- Assess the combined mode against the dynamic criteria as:

a/g =
$$(P_o e^{-0.35 \text{ fcomb}}) / (\beta W_{comb})$$
 For an office must be < 0.5% for f_n between 4 and 8 Hz.



AUSTRALIAN STEEL INSTITUTE (ABN)/ACN (94) 000 973 839

Composite Design Example for Multistorey Steel Framed Buildings

Copyright © 2007 by AUSTRALIAN STEEL INSTITUTE

Published by: AUSTRALIAN STEEL INSTITUTE

All rights reserved. This book or any part thereof must not be reproduced in any form without the written permission of Australian Steel Institute.

Note to commercial software developers: Copyright of the information contained within this publication is held by Australian Steel Institute (ASI). Written permission must be obtained from ASI for the use of any information contained herein which is subsequently used in any commercially available software package.

FIRST EDITION 2007 (LIMIT STATES)

National Library of Australia Cataloguing-in-Publication entry: Durack, J.A. (Connell Wagner) Kilmister, M. (Connell Wagner) Composite Design Example for Multistorey Steel Framed Buildings 1st ed.

Bibliography. ISBN 978-1-921476-02-0

- 1. Steel, Structural—Standards Australia.
- 2. Steel, Structural—Specifications Australia.
- 3. Composite, (Engineering)—Design and construction.
- I. Connell Wagner
- II. Australian Steel Institute.
- III. Title

Disclaimer: The information presented by the Australian Steel Institute in this publication has been prepared for general information only and does not in any way constitute recommendations or professional advice. The design examples contained in this publication have been developed for educational purposes and designed to demonstrate concepts. These materials may therefore rely on unstated assumptions or omit or simplify information. While every effort has been made and all reasonable care taken to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this publication, this information should not be used or relied upon for any specific application without investigation and verification as to its accuracy, suitability and applicability by a competent professional person in this regard. Any reference to a proprietary product is not intended to suggest it is more or less superior to any other product but is used for demonstration purposes only. The Australian Steel Institute, its officers and employees and the authors, contributors and editors of this publication do not give any warranties or make any representations in relation to the information provided herein and to the extent permitted by law (a) will not be held liable or responsible in any way; and (b) expressly disclaim any liability or responsibility whatsoever for any loss or damage costs or expenses incurred in connection with this publication by any person, whether that person is the purchaser of this publication or not. Without limitation, this includes loss, damage, costs and expenses incurred as a result of the negligence of the authors, contributors, editors or publishers.

The information in this publication should not be relied upon as a substitute for independent due diligence, professional or legal advice and in this regards the services of a competent professional person or persons should be sought.



II

Table of contents

Table of contents	iii		
Preface	V		
Section A: INPUT INFORMATION	1		
A1. Client and Architectural Requirements	2		
A2. Site Characteristics			
A3. Statutory Requirements	5		
A4. Serviceability			
A5. Design Loads			
A6. Materials and Systems	10		
A7. Design Aids and Codes			
Section B: CONCEPTUAL AND PRELIMINARY DESIGN	12		
B1. Conceptual and Preliminary Design			
B1.1 Consideration of alternative floor framing systems– Scheme A			
B1.2 Consideration of alternative floor framing systems– Scheme B	15		
B1.3 Framing system for horizontal loading – initial distribution of load	16		
B1.4 Alternatives for overall distribution of horizontal load to ground	17		
B2. Preliminary Slab Design	21		
B3. From Alternatives to Adopted Systems	22		
B3.1 Adopted floor framing arrangement	22		
B3.2 Adopted framing arrangement for horizontal loading	23		
B4. Indicative Construction Sequence and Stages			
B4.1 The importance of construction stages in composite design	24		
B4.1 Indicative construction sequence and construction stages			
B4.2 Adopted construction sequence for design of erection columns			
B4.3 Core construction alternatives			
B4.4 Adopted construction method for the core			
B5. Preliminary Sizing of Primary and Secondary Beams			
B6. Plenum Requirements and Floor to Floor Height			
B7. Prelimary Column Sizes and Core Wall Thickness			
Section C: DETAILED DESIGN			
C1. Detailed Design - Introduction	36		
C2. Design Stages and Construction Loading			
C3. Detailed Load Estimation After Completion of Construction			
C3.1 Vertical loading			
C3.2 Wind loading			
C3.3 Seismic loading Not considered	40		
C4. Erection Column Design			
C4.1 Load distribution for erection column design			
C4.2 Side Column C5 (typical of C5 to C10)			
C4.3 End column C2 (typical of C2, C3, C12 and C13)			
C4.4 Corner column C1 (typical of columns C1, C4, C11 and C14)			
C5. Floor Beams – Construction Stage 1			
C5.1 Secondary beams Group S1(11 050, 2800) (Beams B22 – B41, B43 – 48)			
C5.2 Primary beams Group P1(9800, 5725) (Beams B1, B7 to B12, B18,			
B19 – 21, B49 – 51 and B42)			
C5.3 Primary beams Group P2(9250, 6600) (B2, B6, B13 and B17)			
C6. Floor Beams – Construction Stage 3			
C6.1 Secondary beams Group S1(11 050, 2800) (Beams B22 – 41, B43 – 48)	48		
C6.2 Primary beams Group P1(9800, 5725) (Beams B1, B7 - B12, B18 – 21,	49		
B49 – 51 and B42)			
C6.3 Primary beams Group P2(9250, 6600) (Beams B2, B6, B13, B17)	49		
C7 Floor Beam Design for Occupancy Loading			
C7.1 Secondary beams Group S1(11 050, 2800) (Beams B19, B21, B22 - B41,			
B43 – B49 and B51)	51		



Ш

C7.2	Primary beams Group P1(9800,5725) (Beams B1, B7 to B12, B18)			
C7.3	Primary beams group P2(9050, 6600) (Beams B2, B6, B13, B17)			
C8. Assess	ment of Dynamic Performance of Floor System			
C8.1	Definition of the dynamic assessment process			
C8.2	Application of the dynamic assessment process			
C9 Final S	lab Design			
C9.1	Slab design for the office areas			
C9.2	Slab design for the compactus areas	80		
C10. Long	itudinal Shear Reinforcement Design	81		
C10.1	Introduction	81		
C10.2	Proprietory longitudinal shear reinforcement products	83		
C10.3	Secondary beams group S1, B22 typical – longitudinal shear design			
C10.4	Internal primary beams group P2, (B2 typical) longitudinal shear design	85		
C10.5	Primary beams P1, (B1 typical) – longitudinal shear design			
C10.6	Perimeter beams B19 to 21 and B49 to 51			
C11. Floor	System Design Review and Final Decisions	89		
C11.1	Floor design review			
C11.2	Final floor framing plan and deck reinforcement			
	Design of RC Columns			
	led Design of the Core			
C13.1	Preliminary discussion and statement of limitations of this section			
C13.2	Basic modelling of the core using beam elements			
C13.3	The Space Gass Analysis Model			
C13.4	Model verification and static deflections for W _s			
C13.5	Dynamic analysis for natural frequency of building			
C13.6	Interpretation and application of stress resultants from Space Gass			
C13.7	Further investigation of the core using a Strand7 finite element model			
C13.8	Review of core investigations			
	Connection Design			
C14.1	Can it be built?			
C14.2	Representative connections			
C14.3	Web side plate connection design for $V^* = 142$ kN			
C14.4	Flexible end plate connection for V* = 279 kN			
C14.5	B2 to core web side plate connection for $V^* = 308$ kN			
C14.6	Column splice for a load of N* = 1770 kN			
	Column base plate for a load of N* = 1770 kN			
	Penetrations			
	Final Thoughts and Disclaimers			
	Theory and discussion – composite slabs			
Appendix II Theory and discussion - composite beams				
Appendix III Dynamic assessment of the floor system				
	V Theory and discussion steel connections			
Appendix \	/ Corrosion and fire protection	175		



IV IV