

# AS 4100 DS06 Steel Structures – Single angle compression members

Written by: N.S. Trahair Date: February 1995

Checked by: G.J. Hancock Date: November 1995

SINGLE ANGLE COMPRESSION MEMBERS

### Preface

Standards Australia has recently amended Clause 8.4.6 (Ref. 1) of AS 4100—1990 (Ref. 2). This Limit States Data Sheet provides a commentary on this amended Clause.

### Introduction

The behaviour of a single angle compression member is complicated by the details of its connection to the rest of the structure, and by the behaviour of the members connected to it. Connections are usually made to one leg of the angle so that the angle is loaded eccentrically in a plane that lies between its principal planes. Different types of end connection provide different types of restraint both in and out of the plane of the connected leg. The restraining actions between the angle and its adjacent members also depend on the relative stiffnesses of the adjacent members and the angle, and theoretically vary within the range from full restraint to the angle to full restraint to the adjacent members (in which case the angle is negatively restrained).

Many different methods have been proposed for designing angles, but few of these are supported by data from well-conducted tests.

Because of this, the principal method given in AS 4100 for designing a single angle is to use the appropriate beam-column methods of Clause 8.3 (for section capacity), Clause 8.4.2 (for in-plane capacity), Clause 8.4.4 (for out-of-plane capacity), and Clause 8.4.5 (for biaxial bending capacity). These clauses allow the designer to make appropriate assumptions about the eccentricity and the effective length.

For example, a single angle that is welded to substantial main members that are lightly loaded may act as if almost fixed at both ends, in which case the effective end eccentricities will be small and the effective length will be close to half the angle length.

At the opposite extreme, a main member such as a tee-section chord may rely on the single angle to restrain it against flexural or twist rotations, in which case the angle will have an effective length greater than the actual length and a significant end eccentricity.

Another single angle may be connected in such a way that end rotations are almost unrestrained, in which case the end eccentricities will be significant, and the effective length will be close to the angle length.

The designer will therefore need to assess the end connections and the behaviour of the adjacent members in order to make appropriate assumptions for the eccentricities and the effective length.



## Design using Clauses 8.3 and 8.4.5

Clause 8.4.6 requires the designer to use either of two methods, the first of these being given in Clauses 8.3 and 8.4.5. In this method, no guidance is given to the designer on the appropriate eccentricities or effective length to be used, and so it is the designer's responsibility to make assumptions that are appropriate to the structure under consideration.

# Design using Clause 8.4.6

The remainder of Clause 8.4.6 provides and alternative method of design which gives guidance on the end eccentricities and effective length. This method is derived from a conversion to limit states design of the working stress design method developed in Reference 3 for eccentrically loaded double bolted or welded single angles in trusses.

The working stress method was based on observations of both test data and theoretical analyses of welded angles in trusses which indicated that the angles deflect mainly in planes perpendicular to the trusses, and with very little twisting. For this to be so, the angles have to have connections that can provide significant restraints against end rotations in the planes of the trusses. Because of this, the application of the method is restricted to single angles with at least double bolted or welded end connections, and cannot be used for single bolted angles.

The assumption that the eccentrically connected angle deflects only in the plane perpendicular to the truss allows simplifications to be made with respect to its buckling behaviour under axial load, and to its bending behaviour under end moments, Its compression strength under axial load  $N^{*}$  alone is based on the use of the nominal compression capacity N<sub>ch</sub> for buckling perpendicular to the plane of the truss.

The bending capacity of the angle in the absence of axial load is also approximated by considering deflections only in the plane perpendicular to the truss. In this case, the design moment  $M_h^*$  out of the plane

of the truss must be accompanied by an in-plane moment  $M_n^*$  supplied by the in-plane restraint which prevents rotation in the plane of the truss. Thus, the nominal moment capacity ( $M_{bx}$ ) of the angle is assumed to be reached when the out-of-plane moment  $M_n^*$  reaches the out-of-plane component  $M_{bx}\cos\alpha$  of the nominal moment capacity  $M_{bx}$ , in which  $\alpha$  is the angle between the plane of  $M_n^*$  and the plane of  $M_{bx}$ .

The design capacity of the angle under combined compression N<sup>\*</sup> and bending M<sup>\*</sup><sub>h</sub> is formulated as a linear interaction equation between the compression ratio N<sup>\*</sup> /  $\phi$ N<sub>ch</sub> and the moment ratio M<sup>\*</sup><sub>h</sub> /  $\phi$ M<sub>bx</sub>cos $\alpha$ .

For an equal leg angle whose length-thickness ratio //t is less than the stated limit, lateral buckling effects may be ignored, and the member moment capacity  $M_{bx}$  may be taken as the section capacity  $M_{sx}$ . For other equal leg angles, a simple approximation is given for the elastic buckling moment  $M_o$  which can then be used in Clause 5.6.1.1 to obtain the moment member capacity  $M_{bx}$ .

Simple approximations are given for the end eccentricity e to be used in determining the design bending moment  $M_h^*$ . These are based on the conditions at the connection of the angle to the compression chord of the truss, and the assumption that the compression chord does not restrain the angle against rotations out of the plane of the truss. When the chords do provide restraints, then smaller values of the eccentricities may be used, provided these are justified by a rational elastic analysis.

The value of the factor  $\alpha_m$  to be used in determining  $M_{bx}$  may be assumed conservatively to be given by  $\alpha_m = 1.0$ . Alternatively, it may be argued that the tension chord will provide some restraint at the other end of the angle, so that a higher value of  $\alpha_m$  may be used. If this restraint halves the end moment so that  $\beta_m = -0.5$ , then  $\alpha_m = 1.3$ .



# REFERENCES

- 1 Standards Australia, Amendment No. 3 to AS 4100 steel structures, Sydney, 1995.
- 2 Standards Australia, AS 4100 Steel structures, Sydney, 1990.
- 3 Woolcock, ST and Kitipornchai, S, 'Design of Single Angle Web Struts in Trusses', *Journal of Structural Engineering*, ASCE, Vol. 112, No. 6, June 1986, pp 1327-45.

